



Problem 1

1. Driver error can be listed as the cause of approximately 54% of all fatal auto accidents, according to the American Automobile Association. Thirty randomly selected fatal accidents are examined, and it is determined that 14 were caused by driver error. Using $\alpha = 0.05$, is the AAA proportion accurate? Run two sided test to determine if the claimed value $p = 0.54$ is correct.

- a. No, we fail to reject the null hypothesis $H_0 : p = 0.54$ at the $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level.
- b. Yes, we fail to reject the null hypothesis $H_0 : p = 0.54$ at the $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level.
- c. No, we reject the null hypothesis $H_0 : p = 0.54$ at the $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level.
- d. Yes, we reject the null hypothesis $H_0 : p = 0.54$ at the $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level.

Problem 2

The university is interested in whether or not students support sport passes to be included in tuition and given to all students. This would raise tuition so it is controversial. They conduct a few samples and do a series of confidence intervals and hypothesis tests.

250 random students are sampled to estimate the proportion of students that support sports passes being included in tuition. Of those students 133 support it and 117 oppose.

2. What is the sample proportion and standard error of that proportion?

$$\hat{p} = \frac{133}{250} = 0.532 \quad SE(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{0.532(1-0.532)}{250}}$$

3. The university president wants to know if more than half of the students support sport passes being included in tuition. The sample proportion was .52. What would appropriate null and alternative hypothesis be?

- a. $H_0 : p = .5, H_a : p > .5$
- b. $H_0 : p < .5, H_a : p = .5$
- c. $H_0 : p = .52, H_a : p > .52$
- d. $H_0 : p > .52, H_a : p = .52$
- e. $H_0 : \hat{p} = .5 \quad H_a : \hat{p} > .5$
- f. $H_0 : \hat{p} > .5 \quad H_a : \hat{p} = .5$


4. The above hypothesis test is conducted. The p-value is 0.04 and the sample proportion was .52. What is the correct interpretation of this p-value

- a. There is a 0.04 probability that the population proportion is .52.

- b. The true proportion must be bigger than .50.
- c. If multiple samples and hypothesis tests were done, approximately 4% of them would be have sample means further away from the null hypothesis.
- d. There is a 0.04 probability that the null hypothesis is correct

Problem 3

You wish to test a claim that $\mu \neq 38$ at a level of significance of $\alpha = 0.05$, and you are given the following information: $\bar{x} = 37.1$, $n = 35$ and $s = 2.7$. The observations are plotted in the following histogram and qq plot.



5. Compute the value of the test statistic.

- a. -3.12
- b. -2.86
- c. -1.97
- d. -1.83

$$t - test = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{37.1 - 38}{2.7/\sqrt{35}} = -1.97$$

6. Are the conditions satisfied for the reported test-statistic?

Yes, because the sample size is more than 30 observations and the t-test can be used.



Problem 4

The hospital administrator randomly selected 64 patients and measured the time (in minutes) between when they checked in to the ER and the time they were first seen by a doctor. The average time is 137.5 minutes and the standard deviation is 39 minutes. She is getting grief from her supervisor on the basis that the wait times in the ER has increased greatly from last year's average of 127 minutes. However, she claims that the increase is probably just due to chance.

7. Are conditions for inference met? Note any assumptions you must make to proceed.
8. Using a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, is the change in wait times statistically significant? Use a two-sided test since it seems the supervisor had to inspect the data before she suggested an increase occurred.
9. Would the conclusion of the hypothesis test change if the significance level was changed to $\alpha = 0.01$?

7. Independence: The sample is random and 64 patients would almost certainly make up less than 10% of the ER residents. The sample size is at least 30. No information is provided about the skew. In practice, we would ask to see the data to check this condition, but here we will make the assumption that the skew is not very strong.

8. $H_0 : \mu = 127$. $H_A : \mu \neq 127$; t-test = 2.15 ; p-value = 0.0316. Since the p-value is less than $\alpha = 0.05$, we reject H_0 . The data provide convincing evidence that the average ER wait time has increased over the last year.

9. Yes, it would change. The p-value is greater than 0.01, meaning we would fail to reject H_0 at $\alpha = 0.01$.

Problem 5

A patient named Diana was diagnosed with Fibromyalgia, a long-term syndrome of body pain, and was prescribed anti-depressants. Being the skeptic that she is, Diana didn't initially believe that anti-depressants would help her symptoms. However, after a couple months of being on the medication she decides that the anti-depressants are working, because she feels like her symptoms are in fact getting better.

10. Write the hypotheses in words for Diana's skeptical position when she started taking the anti-depressants.
11. What is a Type 1 Error in this context?
12. What is a Type 2 Error in this context?

10. H_0 : Anti-depressants do not help symptoms of Fibromyalgia. H_A : Anti-depressants do treat symptoms of Fibromyalgia.

11. Concluding that anti-depressants work for the treatment of Fibromyalgia symptoms when they actually do not.



12. Concluding that anti-depressants do not work for the treatment of Fibromyalgia symptoms when they actually do.

Problem 6

13. Suppose we had used a significance level of 0.01 in the sleep study. Would the evidence have been strong enough to reject the null hypothesis? (The p-value was 0.007.) What if the significance level was $\alpha = 0.001$?

For an $\alpha = 0.01$ the p-value = $0.007 < \alpha = 0.01$, therefore we have a strong enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis.

For an $\alpha = 0.001$ the p-value = $0.007 > \alpha = 0.001$, therefore we don't have a strong enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis.